Is Preeclampsia Really an Uncommon Etiological Factor of Pleural Effusions?
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Abstract

Effusion developing due to preeclampsia in the pleural effusion etiology is quite rare, and in most studies, the term of preeclampsia is not even mentioned as to differential diagnosis. In the same way, pleural effusion is also rarely encountered in cases of preeclampsia in obstetry clinics. Transudative pleural pericardial effusion accompanied by ascites in an asymptomatic patient with mild preeclampsia that we diagnosed accidentally suggested that such cases could be more common than expected.
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Introduction

Pleural effusion is a frequently seen entity in Turkey due to the diseases, such as congestive heart failure, malignancy, parapneumonic and pulmonary embolism, and tuberculosis. An increase in hydrostatic pressure, a reduction in oncotic pressure and an increased endothelial permeability are the most common mechanisms of pleural effusion [1]. Pleural effusion is encountered as a rare finding in cases with postnatal severe preeclampsia [2].

Case Presentation

A 31-year-old female patient with the history of previous and healthy pregnancy and delivery was admitted to the hospital for the second birth. Because her arterial pressure was measured as 210/100 mmHg on left and 160/100 mmHg on right arms on the first postnatal day, calcium channel blocker was started due to hypertension, and thorax and abdominal Computed Tomography (CT) were analyzed with the suspicion of aortic dissection. On CT investigation, pleuro-pericardial effusion and ascites were evaluated (Figure 1). No pathologic signs of aortic dissection were seen. In terms of the etiology of effusion, samples were obtained from pleural effusion through thoracentesis, and colorless and serous fluid was drained. In simultaneously performed pleural fluid/blood analyses, albumin, LDH and protein were measured as 0.22/2.22g/dL, 74/1061 U/L and 0,47/5.01 mg/dL respectively, and transudate fluid was considered. No abnormality except for +2 proteinuria was encountered in the urine analysis. The patient in whom hematological and biochemical parameters were within normal limits except mild elevated transaminase was diagnosed with mild preeclampsia. Given that arterial pressure regressed to normal limits, the case was started to be treated with magnesium sulfate due to the prophylaxis of convulsion. On thoracic ultrasound performed on the second day, it was observed that no additional pathology was present, and pleuro-pericardial effusion was nearly ameliorated.

Discussion

The etiology of preeclampsia still remains unknown exactly, but several etiologies have been proposed, including abnormal trophoblast invasion of uterine vessels, immunological intolerance between fetoplacental and maternal tissues, maladaptation to cardiovascular changes and inflammatory changes of pregnancy [3]. Preeclampsia involves wide spread endothelial cell dysfunction. Massive fluid leakage into the third space occurs because of widespread endothelial cell dysfunction [4].

As a result of the investigations performed in our case, the fluid detected in abdomen and pleuro-pericardial space was considered to be transudative. When analyzing the factors leading to transudative fluid, the decrease seen at serum protein and albumin levels in our case was thought to be the primary cause of effusion. However, endothelial dysfunction responsible preeclampsia is also
considered to be involved in the etiology of effusion.

In our case, the presentation of mild preeclampsia was assumed in light of clinical and laboratory signs, except for arterial pressure of 100 mmHg. According to Cong [5], although the incidence of ascites was found to be 21.6/1000 in severe cases of preeclampsia, the development of pleuro-pericardial effusion as mild preeclampsia is the sign of a rare condition.

The diagnosis of effusion was performed incidentally in our case due to lack of symptoms such as angina, cough or shortness of breath dyspnea. The fact that the effusion leads to no pleural-peritoneal irritation and formation of other symptoms because of its poor content may be encountered as normal, but non-formation of dispnea due to pleural effusion and non-occurrence of cardiac symptoms due to pericardial effusion should be assessed as conditions requiring further studies.

We wish to emphasize once more that preeclampsia should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis of the effusion followed-up in women with pregnancy. We consider that the rarity of effusion incidence due to preeclampsia in thoracic surgery and obstetrics may be a relative decrease because of the asymptomatic courses of such cases.
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