Interstitial Ectopic Pregnancy, Diagnosis and Management: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

In this review we describe the incidence, presentation, available methods for early diagnosis and the different treatment options for management of interstitial ectopic pregnancy and how to distinguish this type of ectopic pregnancy from other pregnancies that implant laterally in the uterus. A case of viable interstitial ectopic pregnancy treated with intramuscular methotrexate is presented and used to critically discuss its management in the light of the presented evidence and we concluded by highlighting some practical points in reporting, diagnosing and managing interstitial ectopic pregnancy.

Introduction

Many authors in the published literature use the terms “cornual” and “interstitial” pregnancy interchangeably for pregnancies implanted laterally in the uterus [1-3]. Others reserved the term cornual pregnancy to describe pregnancy in one horn of a bicornuate uterus or a septate uterus or in a rudimentary horn of a unicornuate uterus [4,5]. These terms are also frequently confused with the term angular pregnancy, in which the pregnancy is also implanted laterally in the uterus but the implantation is medial to utero-tubal junction and the round ligament of the uterus [5]. Some authors consider the term angular pregnancy is obsolete as it simply refers to a normal intrauterine pregnancy that happens to be located laterally within the uterine cavity and be carried to term [6]. While other considers this type of pregnancy to either develop directly over the tubal opening or more probably, in the interstitial portion of the tube immediately external to that opening and then grows into the adjacent uterine cavity, thus considering angular pregnancy as a continuation of an interstitial pregnancy [7,8]. Angular pregnancy can progress to second trimester or even to term but is associated with high rates of spontaneous abortion, uterine rupture, and placenta accreta, with rupture occurring in as many as 23.5% of all angular pregnancies [9].

Definitions and Anatomy

Anatomically, the interstitial part of the fallopian tube (the intramural portion) is 0.7 mm wide and approximately 1 cm-2 cm long with a slightly tortuous course within the muscular wall of the uterus extending obliquely upward and outward from the uterine cavity. Therefore, an interstitial ectopic pregnancy is defined as pregnancy implanted in the interstitial portion of the fallopian tube that is within the muscular wall of the uterus, lateral to the round ligament of the uterus (Figure 1) [1,2,10].

Incidence

The incidence of interstitial pregnancy varies between 2 to 4% of all ectopic implantations and one in every 2500-5000 live births [2,11-14]. The interchangeable use of the terms cornual, angular and interstitial pregnancy in literatures may have created problems in the reported incidence of interstitial ectopic pregnancy. The frequency of interstitial ectopic pregnancy is increasing steadily with the increasing use of assisted reproductive technologies [10,15]. The predisposing factors for interstitial ectopic pregnancies include: pelvic inflammatory disease, previous ipsilateral or bilateral salpingectomy, previous ectopic and pelvic surgery, tumours, uterine anomalies and in vitro fertilization [16].

Delayed diagnosis of interstitial pregnancy is the main factor contributing to the high maternal mortality rate in comparison to that for tubal ectopic pregnancies; the mortality rate for tubal ectopic pregnancy was reported to be 0.14%, whilst that for interstitial pregnancy was reported to be nearly 15 times higher, at 2%-2.5% [11].
Presentation

Interstitial ectopic pregnancy can present with the classic symptoms of ectopic pregnancy namely, amenorrhea, vaginal bleeding, and abdominal pain, but the last two symptoms may not arise in some un-ruptured interstitial ectopic pregnancies which could remain asymptomatic for several weeks because the interstitial portion of the tube can expand more than other tubal segments before it ruptures [17].

In a retrospective review of 109 cases of interstitial ectopic pregnancy 54.5% of patients presented with abdominal pain, 22.7% with vaginal spotting and 20.5% were asymptomatic [18].

Because interstitial ectopic pregnancy is located in the upper lateral area of the uterus where there is extensive uterine-ovarian vessels anastomosis it results in catastrophic haemorrhage when ruptured [16]. This explains the higher mortality rate of this type of ectopic pregnancy and highlights the need for early diagnosis.

Diagnosis

Interstitial ectopic pregnancy remains one of the most difficult gestations to diagnose. Eccentrically located intrauterine gestational sac can be misinterpreted as interstitial pregnancy on ultrasound evaluation. Even when interstitial pregnancy is suspected on ultrasound scan (US), laparoscopic findings may be negative in early stages and present a diagnostic dilemma [19,20].

US identification of the interstitial portions of the two tubes is very helpful to exclude the diagnosis of unicornuate uterus and is essential for making the diagnosis of interstitial pregnancy. By examining the fundal aspect of the uterus in a transverse section, the interstitial tubes can be identified as thin hyper echoic lines extending from the lateral aspect of the uterine cavity through the myometrium towards the uterine serosa [21]. They can be seen routinely in women with intrauterine pregnancies <7 weeks’ gestation. In women with extra-uterine pregnancies, the uterine position and size are usually not affected by the growth of pregnancy and therefore it is possible to identify the interstitial segments of the tube as late as the second trimester of pregnancy [6].

US findings consistent with interstitial gestation include an eccentrically located gestational sac at the superior fundal level of the uterus at least 1 cm from the lateral edge of the uterine cavity surrounded by asymmetrical thin (<5 mm) myometrial tissue with a distinct and separate empty uterine cavity, and the “interstitial line sign”, an echogenic line that extends into the upper regions of the uterine horn and borders the margin of the intramural gestational sac, representing either interstitial portion of the tube or endometrium, which depends on the age and size of the gestation [3,22]. The interstitial line sign (Figure 2) have 80% sensitivity and 98% specificity for the diagnosis of interstitial ectopic pregnancy. However these criteria are reproducible only in the first trimester and the diagnosis become more difficult and equivocal when the gestation enlarges in the second trimester [2,3,23].

An editorial suggested adaptation of two ultrasound findings for diagnosing interstitial ectopic pregnancy [6]: 1) visualization of the...
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interstitial line adjoining the gestational sac and the lateral aspect of the uterine cavity; and 2) the continuation of myometrial mantle around the ectopic sac (Figure 3).

A recent review of 11 cases of interstitial pregnancies found that the presence of a cornual mass in the absence of any evidence of an intrauterine pregnancy is the most significant finding with a sensitivity of 80%. However, they acknowledged that sometimes it can be difficult to distinguish between interstitial pregnancy and a tubal ectopic pregnancy on two dimensional ultrasound scan [19].

Three-dimensional ultrasonography (3D scan) was shown to be an important diagnostic tool as it may depict precise location of the interstitial gestational sac differentiating it from eccentrically located intrauterine gestational sac as compared to other imaging modalities (Figure 4) [20]. The use of colour flow Doppler in combination with scan may reveal the presence of a vascular ring, which proved an intense peripheral vascularization around the interstitial gestation (Figure 5) [17].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) can also be used in non-urgent cases and for evaluation of cases in which ultrasound scans have been inconclusive. The main advantage of MRI as compared with ultrasound is the ability to visualize the whole uterus, and thus identify the exact site of implantation. The gestational sac is located eccentrically in the uterine fundus and is surrounded by asymmetric thin myometrium. Distinction between an angular pregnancy and an interstitial pregnancy can sometimes be difficult. However, in early interstitial pregnancy the presence of an intact junctional zone between the uterine cavity and the lesion (the gestation) is suggestive of an interstitial location (Figure 6) [5,23]. In second trimester the visualization of the decidua adjacent to the eccentrically located gestational sac is highly suggestive of interstitial pregnancy and help to eliminate the diagnosis of angular pregnancy [23]. MRI features of advanced interstitial ectopic pregnancy include eccentric location of the gestational sac, covered by asymmetric thin myometrium on the uterine fundus, and clear visualization of the decidua adjacent to it [23]. MRI may also show myometrial defect and hemoperitoneum which are signs of uterine rupture.

**Differential diagnosis**

Diagnosis can be delayed due to the difficulty of distinguishing an interstitial pregnancy from other laterally implanted pregnancies including an eccentrically located intrauterine gestational sac (Table 1). In early pregnancy, 3D scan can be utilized to help identifying the precise location of the gestational sac [20].

Differential diagnosis with an angular pregnancy is acritical aspect. While an interstitial pregnancy is implanted in the uterine portion of the tube, angular pregnancy is an intrauterine pregnancy located near the utero-tubal junction. Anatomically, these two ectopic pregnancies cause a different uterine distortion: Usually the angular pregnancy leads to a bulge medial to the round ligament, and the interstitial one determines a lateral bulge [17]. Clinically, given the intrauterine location of angular pregnancies as described above and the enveloping myometrium, these patients are likely to present with symptoms later than patients with other types of ectopic pregnancies. On US examination the myometrium is thicker in angular pregnancies and has at least 5 mm or more of myometrium surrounds all sides of the sac while in interstitial pregnancies it is thinner <5 mm and present only in the supero-lateral portion of the sac [9,17].

At MRI, angular pregnancy appears as gestational sac implanted in the lateral angle of the uterus and can be confused with normal pregnancies. This gestational sac will be completely surrounded by uterine myometrium, although focal thinning is sometimes seen (Figure 7). High signal intensity in the uterine wall itself can represent intramural haemorrhage. It is also important to look for placental invasion of the myometrium because placenta accreta is frequently seen in these patients. Given the propensity for rupture, it is also important to look for myometrial discontinuity and hemoperitoneum whenever angular pregnancy is suspected [5].

**Treatment**

Medical management with methotrexate has been used as
first-line treatment in appropriate cases. A strict inclusion criteria (early gestation, diameter < 4 cm, serum beta Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG) < 10,000 IU/L, no evidence of rupture) was suggested and treatment was shown to be success in cases that satisfy these criteria and in women who are able to be monitored for a quite long time after treatment and treated further if required [17].

When the interstitial pregnancy is correctly identified at an early stage, and is un-raptured, medical management can be attempted, with the potential advantage of avoiding surgery and with an increased likelihood of preserving fertility. Systemic injection of methotrexate (MTX) is the most extensively studied medical regimen. This drug has been administered either a single dose or in a multiple-dose fashion with reasonable success and also administered in a localized fashion, with both US and laparoscopic guidance [24]. The systemic route of administration offers advantages over local injection of the ectopic gestation in that it is less invasive and not operator dependent. Follow-up may need to be prolonged after medical treatment of interstitial pregnancy as the initial hCG values tend to be higher than those encountered with tubal ectopic pregnancy [17]. Other medical treatments showing some success include potassium chloride and thrombolytic agents [17].

Medical treatment of ectopic pregnancy has a failure rate of up to 35% and rupture of the ectopic pregnancy is also possible during

### Table 1: The diagnostic criteria of different types of laterally implanted pregnancies which can be confused with interstitial pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Pregnancy</th>
<th>Helpful scan findings</th>
<th>Helpful MRI findings</th>
<th>At laparoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early eccentrically located intrauterine pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>(1) The gestational sac is usually located eccentrically within the uterine cavity and is surrounded by an echogenic ring of trophoblast. (2) The endometrial midline echo is intact and the pregnancy can be seen implanted below the endometrial surface. (3) The endometrial–myometrial junction extends around the gestational sac. (4) There is wide communication between the sac and the uterine cavity.</td>
<td>(1) A junctional zone is present between the gestational sac and the uterine cavity in early gestation. (2) The gestational sac is located eccentrically in the uterine fundus and is contiguous with the uterine myometrium owing to the implantation within the intramural portion of the fallopian tube. (3) Is surrounded by asymmetric thin myometrium (4) Clear visualization of the decidua adjacent to the gestational sac in second trimester. (5) Identification of myometrial discontinuity and hemoperitoneum in ruptured advanced cases.</td>
<td>(1) Symmetrical global uterine enlargement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interstitial pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>(1) An empty uterus. (2) The ipsilateral interstitial tube adjoining the uterine cavity and the gestational sac. (3) Gestational sac cannot be separated from the uterus and at least 1 cm from the lateral edge of the uterine cavity. (4) Thin myometrial layer (≤ 5 mm) surrounding the super-lateral portion of the gestational sac. (5) The interstitial line sign is present. (6) Narrow communication between the sac and the uterine cavity can be seen.</td>
<td>(1) No intact junctional zone between the uterine cavity and the gestational sac in early pregnancy. (2) The gestational sac will be completely surrounded by uterine myometrium, although foci of thinning is sometimes seen. (3) No clear visualization of the decidua adjacent to the gestational sac in second trimester. (4) High signal intensity in the uterine wall can represent intramural hemorrhage and identification of myometrial discontinuity and hemoperitoneum in ruptured cases.</td>
<td>(1) The gestational sac (uterine bulge) located lateral to the round ligament.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angular pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>(1) Gestational sac in the lateral angle of the uterine cavity. (2) The ipsilateral interstitial tube adjoining the uterine cavity and the gestational sac. (3) The myometrium is thicker and has 5 mm or more of myometrium surrounds all sides of the sac. (4) Absent interstitial line sign. (5) Wide communication between the sac and the uterine cavity can be seen.</td>
<td>(1) The gestational sac is clearly located in a rudimentary horn with a band of tissue connecting the rudimentary horn uterus. (2) The gestational sac is surrounded by a wall that should match the signal intensity of normal myometrium with all pulse sequences. (3) No communication between the pregnant horn and endometrial cavity or endocervical canal. (4) The contralateral unicorne uterus will be laterally displaced and described as a “banana-shaped uterus”. (5) Identification of myometrial Discontinuity and hemoperitoneum in ruptured advanced cases.</td>
<td>(1) The gestational sac in a separate uterine horn with a fallopian tube. (2) The horn is attached to the empty unicorne uterus by a (narrow or wide) band. (3) The round ligament of the rudimentary horn is attached lateral to the gestational sac.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rudimentary horn pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>(1) Pseudopartum of an asymmetrical bicornuate uterus with variation in thickness of the myometrium surrounding the 2 horns and marked distance between the 2 horns. (2) A gestational sac movable and separate from the empty uterus. (3) Absence of visual communication between tissue surrounding the gestational sac and the cervical endometrium.</td>
<td>(1) The gestational sac in one of the two uterine horns which is bigger and continuous with the other horn. (2) The round ligament of the pregnancy horn is attached lateral to the gestational sac.</td>
<td>(1) Symmetrical global uterine enlargement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pregnancy in one horn of bicornuate uterus</strong></td>
<td>(1) No variation in thickness of the myometrium around the 2 horns. (2) Visual continuity between the endometrium lining the gestational sac and that of the other uterine cornua. (3) Wide communication between gestational sac and the rest of the endometrial cavity.</td>
<td>(1) Two separate uterine horns with continuity between the endometrium lining the gestational sac and the other uterine horn.</td>
<td>(1) Gestational sac in one of the two uterine horns which is bigger and continuous with the other horn. (2) The round ligament of the pregnancy horn is attached lateral to the gestational sac.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
methotrexate treatment even in the face of decreasing hCG values [25-27]. Surgery is indicated when patient refuse, failed or unsuitable for medical treatment, in the presence of significant symptoms, in ruptured cases or in large interstitial ectopic with the presence of a heartbeat [19].

In the past, laparotomy with either hysterectomy or cornual resection was advocated. Recently, options such as laparoscopic cornuostomy (incision of the cornual region), or cornuectomy (resection of the cornual region of the uterus and the suturing of the incision site) have been reported [18,19]. The current trend is to use conservative surgical alternatives to cornual resection in an attempt to increase future fertility and decrease the risk of uterine rupture during a subsequent pregnancy [1]. These conservative surgical treatments successfully used combination of hysteroscopic, laparoscopic and ultrason guided transcervical evacuation of interstitial ectopic pregnancy [28,29].

Intraoperative bleeding remains a major problem for laparoscopic management of interstitial ectopic pregnancies, because of the rich supply of blood vessels at the location of the interstitial ectopic pregnancy [18].

Various haemostatic techniques have been attempted for the control of bleeding. These have included intracorporeal and extracorporeal suturing, endoloop or encircling suture before evacuation of conception, electrosurgery in addition to diluted vasopressin injection, fibrin glue, a harmonic scalpel and uterine artery embolization [18,30- 34]. Successful laparoscopic management of interstitial ectopic pregnancies using the automatic stapler to simultaneously excise and stitch the uterine cornua has also been reported [35].

One of the key challenges after laparoscopic surgery is the persistent trophoblastic activity even after cornual resection which may be due to increased myometrial invasion in some interstitial ectopic pregnancies. This has been treated successfully with methotrexate [11,19].

Prognosis

The mortality rate for interstitial pregnancy was reported to be 15 times more than the mortality rate of tubal ectopic; this was attributed to delayed diagnosis of interstitial pregnancy but may also be due to confusion with angular and cornual pregnancies which tend to rupture at a later stage [11,17,23].

Persistently high serum Beta hCG after laparoscopic management has been treated with methotrexate [31]. Recurrence of interstitial ectopic pregnancy after medical or laparoscopic treatment including conservative laparoscopic surgery or laparoscopic cornual resection has been reported [18,31].

Ruptured uterus during subsequent pregnancy has been reported after spontaneous resolution and after surgical treatment of interstitial pregnancy [36,37]. The drawback to cornual resection and suturing is the use of a full-thickness uterine wall incision (as in hysterotomy) and when electrosurgery is used; the depth of a thermal damage is difficult to be assessed. This has prompt many surgeons to recommend elective caesarean delivery in subsequent pregnancies to decrease the risk of uterine rupture [19,37]. However, successful vaginal delivery have also been reported after both cornual resection with suturing of the uterine defect and laparoscopic cornuostomy in which the uterine wall was left open for secondary healing [31,38,39].
it was agreed to discharged her for outpatient monitoring and follow up. She was warned and advised to report immediately to the hospital if she developed any pain. She had 6 outpatient visits for serial hCG levels which continue to drop till it become < 5 mIU/ml on day 47 after methotrexate injection. She was discharged from follow up.

**Discussion**

The case presented was successfully managed with systemic methotrexate. This case report and other have confirmed the successful use of methotrexate in treating early interstitial ectopic pregnancies [1,2,24,25]. The presence of fetal heart beats in this case confirmed that medical treatment can be used successfully in early viable interstitial ectopic pregnancy even in the presence of detectable fetal heart beats with serum beta hCG of over 8000 mIU/ml. This is in contrast to other papers suggesting that the presence of fetal heart beat is a contraindication to medical management [19]. In the case presented US did not report any of the sonographic diagnostic criteria described in the literatures above for diagnosing interstitial ectopic pregnancy [2,3,6,23]. There was no use of the available 3D US to confirm diagnosis and the term Cornual instead of interstitial ectopic was used. However, the use of colour flow Doppler during follow up and demonstration of reduced flow and vascularity around the gestational sac was helpful to encourage continuation with medical management. The case reported declined surgical treatment and agreed to stay inpatient after the medical treatment until it was felt safe to discharge her for outpatient monitoring. She had 6 weekly visits over 47 days after the IM methotrexate until she was discharged from follow up. This prolonged follow up requires patient motivation and may not be suitable to all women.

**Conclusion**

The term cornual pregnancy should not be used to describe interstitial ectopic pregnancy in literatures. Researchers and editors should adhere to this so the true incidence and the different management modality outcomes for interstitial ectopic pregnancy can be accurately reported.

Sonographers should be encouraged to look for the described the sonographic diagnostic criteria and document these in their report to increase clinician’s confidence with the diagnosis especially in asymptomatic patients with viable pregnancy and high serum beta hCG levels. If 3 D scan is available this should also be used as it may increases the diagnostic accuracy.

Women suitable for medical management of interstitial ectopic pregnancy should be informed that medical management with systemic methotrexate for early viable interstitial ectopic pregnancy can be successful but post treatment follow up can be prolonged and they need to agree to that before offering the treatment.

The available literature do not provides agreed guidance regarding future pregnancy risks and optimum mode of delivery following treatment of interstitial ectopic pregnancy.
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