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Abstract

The following case presents an atypical manifestation of pancreatic cancer, the latter being diagnosed only after biopsy results. The patient complaints and initial tests led to the preliminary diagnosis of acute pancreatitis which occurred after a dietary change. Moreover, after arrival to the hospital this patient had E.coli in his blood sample. Inflammatory parameters were not increased. However, he didn’t have any symptoms of sepsis. The blood sample was repeated, and again the result showed positive E.coli. The antibacterial and other symptomatic treatment seemed to be effective for the first 4 days. However, on the 29th day after the first signs of the disease, the patient became unresponsive and died due to respiratory and cardiovascular failure. The final diagnosis appeared to be a pancreatic stage I cancer in the head. The patient died because of sepsis, multiple organ failure, and cardiopulmonary insufficiency.
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Case Presentation

The subject of this report was a 78-year-old white, married, non-drinking, non-smoking male, physically active (he used to travel about 30 km by bicycle every day) and on a healthy diet. However, his eating habits changed when he was in Italy. When he came back to Lithuania, he felt sick. He felt pain in the upper abdominal zone and indigestion, had icterus, his urine was brown.

Anamnesis of this patient: the patient had a grade IV mitral valve leakage, no allergies and no surgeries. The blood test and an abdominal ultrasound were done on the first day in the hospital.

Treatment and diagnostics

Results of the first day (June 21, 2016) blood samples were as follows: blood count WBC 7.99 µU/ml, RBCs 3.81 µU/ml, Hgb 114 g/l ↓, PLT 222 µU/ml; blood chemistry ALP 911U/l ↑, ALT 372 U/l↑, AST 280 U/l↑, total bilirubin 168.9 mmol/l↑, urea 7.6 mmol/l, creatinine 96.4 µmol/l, P-amylaze 187U/l↑, CRP 3.6 mg/l; blood culture positive E.coli.

The following data was found during abdominal ultrasound: the liver surface smooth, homogenous, liver of normal size. Intrahepatic gall-bladder ducts were dilated in both lobes; ductus choledochus was normal and seemed to be clear. The gall-bladder was large (125 x 49 mm), clear and with thin walls. Pancreas could not be seen because of flatulence. The findings led to the diagnosis of intra- and extrahepatic cholestasis and cholecystitis.

After blood tests and abdominal ultrasound the doctors decided to do the ERCP (endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography). General anaesthesia has been done and the patient has been considered as having ASA II. Anaesthesia was uneventful. The surgeon used the ERCP protocol and introduced a stent in the ductus choledochus, because he saw black coloured bile during the dilation of the ductus.

The patient was treated with antibiotics: cefuroxime 1.5 g x 3 times/d and metronidazole 0, 5 g x 3 times/d, because of the E.coli bacteria found in the blood sample. Besides, infusion therapy has been applied and analgesics have been given to the patient.

The next two days the levels of liver enzymes decreased (Figure 1), but P-amylase increased from to 187≥283 mmol/l (Figure 2). The patient felt better, jaundice disappeared.

After four days (June 21, 2016) the patient complained about feeling sick. CRP increased from 3.6 to 138.8 mg/l, P-amylase from 283 to 314 U/l, urea from 7.6 to 13.4 mmol/l. Other blood tests were normal and liver enzymes decreased further. The decision was made to proceed with monitoring the patient’s condition and further assessment of the tests.

Four more days after (June 29, 2016), the patient felt pain in the abdominal cavity and complained...
about feeling weak. CRP increased from 138.8 to 190.2 mg/l (Figure 3), P-amylase from 314 to 539 U/l, WBC from 7.99 to 19.99 µU/ml (Figure 3); other blood and chemistry tests were normal. The surgeon decided to perform abdominal CT. CT findings were as follows: hepatic haemangioma in S6, acute pancreatitis, hydrothorax in both pleural cavities and pleuropneumonia. An additional antibiotic gentamicin 240mg daily was given i/v. The patient was stable, but felt sick and weak.

Five days after (July 4, 2016) the patient had hemodynamic instability, arterial hypotension, tachycardia, paroxysms of atrial fibrillation, dyspnea and needed supplementary oxygen via nasal cannula. The patient’s stomach was strained, painful, no peristalsis could be heard. The surgeon did the abdominal ultrasound and found fluid near the liver diaphragmatic surface. An ultrasound guided puncture of the intra-abdominal cavity was made under local anaesthesia and purulent fluid was extracted. The fluid culture test revealed the presence of *E.coli*.

Laparotomy was made because of suspected peritonitis. During surgery, fluids were found near the gall-bladder, pancreas, in the Douglas cavity. The pancreas revision has been accomplished; necrosis has been noticed near the head.

The patient’s haemodynamic and respiratory state were unstable: he had paroxysmal AF requiring vasopressors and mechanical ventilation of the lungs. Blood tests revealed the state of sepsis: WBC 31.62 µU/ml, procalcitonin 2.4µg/l. Blood culture has been found: *E.coli*.

The patient’s condition was poor. His vital signs were stable, but the blood tests demonstrated a worsening condition. Even more, he developed acute kidney failure (creatinine 147.2 µmol/l, urea 27.2 mmol/l), the respiratory system and general state were declining.

It was decided to transfer the patient from the secondary to the tertiary health care centre, Hospital of Lithuanian University of Health Sciences for treatment and extensive research. During the transportation the patient was stable and could breathe by himself. There was no fever, but the patient felt pain in the upper abdominal area with no signs of peritoneum irritation. The patient was transferred to the Department of Surgery (July 13, 2016).

After five days (July 18, 2016) CT scan was repeated: the size of the liver was normal, but multiple hypodense focuses resembled abscesses. Choledochal stent was in the correct position, the gall-bladder was normal. Pancreatic tissue had no normal structure, ductus pancreaticus was dilated approximately to 1 cm, and mini calcinations could be seen. Traces of fluid were visible near the pancreas. After CT evaluation it was decided to change treatment: vancomycin 1 g x 1/d (adjusted for GFR) and meropenem 1gx3/d were started.

After two days (July 20, 2016) inflammatory indicators elevated and the patient’s condition did not improve. It was decided to repeat the ERCP. During the procedure, debris mass was removed as well as the stent. It was decided to take a biopsy of Papilla Wateri and an extra bile sample for microbial growth. The answer of the emergency biopsy was adenocarcinoma of pancreas.

The patient’s condition was severe, but stable. He complained having dyspnea. The doctor was able to hear wheezes. Then the bronchoscopy has been done. A pus-looking fluid has been detected in both of the bronchi. This confirmed bronchial aspiration and samples from bronchi microbial growth were taken.

Finally (July 22, 2016) the microbiological tests of the bile revealed growth of *E.coli* (responsive to karbapenem), acinetobacter baumannii (responsive to kolistin), *E faecium* (responsive particularly linezolid), *Enterococcus faecalis* (responsive to vancomycin). Inflammatory indicators declined, so it was decided to continue the same treatment. Unexpectedly on the same day, the patient developed
apnea, and resuscitation according to asystole algorithm has been applied immediately. After successful resuscitation the patient was transferred to the ICU, but he died two hours later.

**Clinical diagnosis**

Pancreatic cancer (stage I), Acute pancreatitis, Mechanical icterus, Acute cholangitis, Multiple liver abscesses, Pleuropneumonia, Sepsis, Septic shock (*E.coli*), Cardiopulmonary insufficiency.

**Discussion**

This clinical case is interesting, because the disease did not have any typical signs of pancreatitis. there were no windsing pain, nausea or vomiting, inflammation indicators have been within the normal range. In the literature, acute pancreatitis is described having the following symptoms: fever (76%) and tachycardia (65%) [1-5]. The patient did not have these symptoms. He had indigestion, pain and jaundice. It is also interesting that the patient became sick after a dietary change. Rare reasons for the pancreatitis described in the literature might be high levels of fat and calcium in the blood [6]. Currently we have found no publications related to the changes of the diet that could lead to pancreatitis. Several publications describe high levels of triglycerides, hypercalcemia. Mortality in acute pancreatitis is usually due to systemic inflammatory response syndrome and organ failure in the first two-week period, while after two weeks it is usually due to sepsis and its complications [7,8]. Provided that the patient had not developed acute pancreatitis and pancreatitis-associated complications, perhaps he would not have been diagnosed stage 1 pancreatic cancer. Approximately 75 % of all pancreatic carcinomas occur within the head or neck of the pancreas, 15-20 % occurs in the body of the pancreas, and 5-10 % occurs in the tail [9]. In most cases of pancreatic cancer, acute pancreatitis manifests where cancer is widespread and causes obstruction. However, data that acute pancreatitis is a risk factor for pancreatic cancer is very limited. The risk of acute pancreatitis in the case underlying pancreatic cancer was estimated to be approximately of 1.5 % [10,11]. Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths, being responsible for 7 % of all cancer-related deaths for men and women. Pancreatic cancer does not have any early symptoms; the symptoms appear when the cancer spreads [12]. Finally, this patient was a good lesson that not necessarily an advanced cancer cause acute pancreatitis, but even a small stage cancer can complication everything. Cancer depresses the immune system. Cancer cells may: reduce the expression of tumor antigens on their surface, making it harder for the immune system to detect them; express proteins on their surface that induce immune cell inactivation; induce cells in the surrounding environment (microenvironment) to release substances that suppress immune responses and promote tumor cell proliferation and survival [13]. The inactivation of the immune system could be the cause of death of this particular patient.

If the patient had been treated in the Intensive Care Unit instead of keeping him in the Department of Surgery he might have had a different outcome now. Initially the patient state was severe, but stable. When the number of patients who require intensive care is greater than the number of beds available, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) entry flow is obstructed [14]. The waiting time for ICU bed availability varies between hospitals and countries, and typically ranges from 2 hours to 3.5 days [15-20]. Finally the disease progressed to sepsis and septic shock. The patient died due to cardiorespiratory failure 29 days after initial complaints. The patient was treated for 29 days after initial symptoms and the patient care seemed to be adequate for his health care providers in the secondary and tertiary levels.

However, the sudden determination of his state was not noticed in the surgical ward having limited monitoring possibilities. It remains unclear why the patient was not transferred to the ICU earlier. Most studies of ICU triage have focused on patients admitted or rejected for ICU management which prevents comparison with patients of late transfers to the ICU [21]. Late admission to the ICU is associated with increased rates of mortality.

In conclusion, this was a rare case of an early, stage 1 pancreatic cancer manifesting as an acute pancreatitis and complicated by sepsis, multiple organ failure and death. There was no suspicion of cancer initially; this was diagnosed only after a biopsy. Having in mind the early stage of cancer, we presume it would have been curable. However, it was the sepsis induced by acute pancreatitis and sepsis-induced multiple organ failure that led to unfavourable outcomes. By bringing attention to the unexpected lethal outcome of this case we want to highlight the need of more extensive monitoring of the patient’s state in cases of acute pancreatitis and septic complications.
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