Pneumo-Enzymatic Vitreolysis for Resistant Vitreomacular Traction
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Abstract

Aim: Case report describing a patient with resistant vitreomacular traction (VMT) treated with an intravitreal injection of a potentially safer, lower dose of ocriplasmin and with a residual intravitreal gas bubble from pneumatic vitreolysis.

Case Report: An 88-year-old man with symptomatic vitreomacular traction (VMT) was observed for 4 weeks without spontaneous release. Pneumatic vitreolysis (PV, intravitreal injection of expansile gas with intermittent face-down positioning [FDP]) was performed. Four weeks later, a 30% bubble was still present in the vitreous, vision remained 20/70, and he had improved but persistent VMT. One-half of the recommended therapeutic dose of ocriplasmin was injected intravitreally and the patient continued FDP. At one-week follow-up, the patient had improved vision of 20/40, associated with complete VMT release. He had no adverse events.

Discussion: Resistant VMT can lead to significant foveal distortion and subsequent vision loss. Pneumatic vitreolysis appears to successfully relieve VMT in about 80% of cases, but in the 20% of cases that do not respond, typically invasive, surgical intervention is required. This report shows the efficacy of combining the mechanical forces of PV with the enzymatic fibrinolytic activity available in a potentially safer, lower dose of ocriplasmin to treat resistant VMT cases, non-invasively.

Summary: For resistant vitreomacular traction, combining the mechanical force of an intravitreal injection of an expansile gas with a half-dose of ocriplasmin could provide an efficacious treatment option, without the risk of the toxic safety profile often associated with ocriplasmin.
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Introduction

Vitreomacular traction (VMT) results when abnormally strong vitreomacular adhesions (VMA) between the vitreous and retina cause tugging of the retina as the vitreous contracts, resulting in distortion of the neurosensory retina which can lead to vision loss [1]. Though pars plana vitrectomy with membrane peeling (PPV/MP) is the gold standard treatment for VMT, the introduction of ocriplasmin (Jetrea®; ThromboGenics Inc, USA, Alcon/Novartis EU) presented a potentially less invasive option. In the microplasmin for Intravitreal Injection – Traction Release without Surgical Treatment (MIVI-TRUST) clinical trials, 26.5% of patients with VMT experienced release compared to 10.1% (p<0.001) of control patients [2]. With subsequent increased use of ocriplasmin, there have been several reports of unexplainable adverse events like transient vision loss, outer retinal degeneration, and photopsia [2,3].

Pneumatic vitreolysis (PV, the intravitreal injection of an expansile gas with intermittent face down positioning) has been found to be relatively safe and effective in releasing VMT in up to 0.84 (95% CI: 0.76-0.92) of patients as analyzed via meta-analysis [4,5]. It is believed to work by inducing a mechanical posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) from injection of an expansile gas, like C3F8 [5]. Previous studies have compared intravitreal ocriplasmin (IVO) and PV alone, but a combined approach has not been explored [4].

Although PV appears to be effective in a majority of VMT patients, about 20% of patients fail to experience VMT release. This case report demonstrates the apparent efficacy of using a half-recommended dose of ocriplasmin in patients who do not experience VMT release with PV alone at 1 month. A lower dose of ocriplasmin, like the one presented, may reduce the complications associated with the enzymatic activity of ocriplasmin.
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In addition to VMT, he had bilateral moderate dry age-related macular degeneration. His best-corrected visual acuity was 20/70–2 in his right eye. An ocular coherence tomographic (OCT) scan showed VMT without any evidence of a macular hole (Figure 1). He was observed for four weeks without change in vision or VMT release. Surgical and non-surgical treatment options were discussed and he elected to undergo PV, with a 0.3 cc intravitreal injection of 100% perfluoropropane (C3F8) gas (Alcon ISPAN), done in the office following standard sterile intravitreal injection techniques, as described in a previous publication [4]. Briefly, the patient was given topical anesthetic lidocaine drops, a wire lid speculum was placed, and 5% and 10% povidone-iodine (Betadine) was given as a disinfectant. The intravitreal injection was performed through the pars plana using a 30-gauge needle and a 1 mL syringe. A paracentesis was also performed to decrease intraocular pressure. After the procedure, the patient was instructed to remain face down 15 minutes every 30 minutes while awake for 4 weeks.

At day 28, the VMT had not resolved, despite good face-down positioning (Figure 2). The patient then underwent a single intravitreal injection of 62.5 μg of ocriplasmin (half the recommended therapeutic dose). The treatment was provided using sterile injection techniques within the FDA-approved package insert guidelines for ocriplasmin.

The patient noticed significant visual improvement 1-2 days after treatment, and at his day 7 post-treatment visits, he was found to have an improved visual acuity at the 20/40 level with complete VMT resolution (Figure 3). He did not develop any macular holes, retinal tears or breaks, retinal detachments, or endophthalmitis during his treatments.

**Discussion**

Patients with symptomatic VMT may benefit from treatment with PPV/MP, ocriplasmin, or PV [2,5]. This case presents a novel combination treatment for resistant VMT that may provide better outcomes than either PV or ocriplasmin injection alone, and potentially with a lower overall complication rate. Complications associated with IVO have included photopsia, dyschromatopsia, and ultrastructural and electoretinographic changes, and the exact cause for such adverse events is still not well understood [3,6,7]. Due to the uncertain safety profile and longer-term after-effects of this still relatively new pharmacologic agent, other treatment options such as PV appear especially promising. In the approximately 20% of patients who do not have VMT release with PV at 1 month and still have a residual gas bubble in the eye, combination treatment with a half-dose IVO may provide an option that combines the mechanical force of PV with the enzymatic fibrinolytic activity from IVO. This combined treatment also reduces the risk of toxicity by using a lower dose of ocriplasmin. Randomized controlled trials of PV followed by low dose IVO are necessary to better investigate this promising combination treatment strategy and associated risks in the future. This case report serves as a starting point for further investigations examining the efficacy of this combined approach.

**References**


---

**Case Presentation**

An 88-year old man presented at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, with a visually significant VMT in his right eye. He had noticeably decreased vision for 6 weeks prior to consultation.