



Restoration-Driven Implant Treatment after Mandibular Resection with a Scapular Flap: A Case Report

Takayuki Kosaka¹, Masahiro Wada^{1*}, Suzuna Akema¹, Kazuhide Matsunaga², Narikazu Uzawa² and Kazunori Ikebe¹

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Gerodontology and Oral Rehabilitation, Osaka University Graduate School of Dentistry, Japan

²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Osaka University Graduate School of Dentistry, Japan

Abstract

Background: The extensive loss of teeth and surrounding tissues due to mandibulectomy for an oral tumor not only impacts negatively on appearance, but also often causes various functional disorders, decreasing Quality of Life (QOL). In the present case, reconstruction with a scapular flap was carried out along with segmental mandibulectomy, aiming for functional restoration through restoration-driven implant treatment. A good outcome was obtained, with improvement of masticatory function and QOL following the prosthetic treatment.

Case Report: The patient was a 37-year-old woman diagnosed with ossifying fibroma in the left side of the mandible. Segmental mandibulectomy and reconstruction with a scapular flap were carried out. Implant diagnostic simulation was performed, and based on the result secondary reconstruction using a particulate cancellous bone and marrow graft was carried out by an oral surgeon. After wound healing was complete, implant surgery was performed twice, and the final prosthetic treatment was completed. Masticatory performance and maximum bite force, which are indices of masticatory function, were improved from before to after prosthetic treatment. In addition, oral health-related QOL was improved from before to after prosthetic treatment.

Conclusion: In the present case, restoration-driven implant treatment was performed in a patient following segmental mandibulectomy for a mandibular tumor, with a good outcome. Planning the treatment measures with a focus on the final prosthetic vision can lead to improvement of oral function in patients with extensive mandibular defects.

Keywords: Mandibulectomy; Scapular flap; Implant; Mastication; Quality of life

Introduction

In cases of mandibulectomy for an oral tumor, the extensive loss of teeth and surrounding tissues not only impacts negatively on appearance, but also often causes various functional disorders of mastication, swallowing, and articulation [1], decreasing Quality of Life (QOL) [2]. Reconstruction of mandibular bone defects using autogenous bone is an effective means to improve not only the lost mandible morphology, but also oral function, and thus improve the patient's QOL.

The first choice for reconstruction in cases of mandibulectomy is often a vascularized free flap using bone from the ilium, fibula, scapula etc, [3]. Of these, the scapular flap offers extremely good blood circulation in both the cutaneous flap and the osseous flap, and the richly branched vascular pattern allows a high degree of flexibility in its use, making it suitable for almost all types of mandibular reconstruction, except extremely extensive defects [4].

However, full recovery of appearance and oral function after mandibulectomy can be achieved with appropriate postoperative prosthetic treatment. An implant prosthesis can give a high level of patient satisfaction in terms of both esthetics and function [5,6], but it requires an adequate simulation before proceeding with treatment. Thus, restoration-driven implant treatment, in which the form of the final prosthetic device is decided and the form is achieved through secondary reconstruction of the bone followed by implant prosthesis, is effective for ensuring the success of implant prosthesis for mandibular defect.

In the present case, a case of segmental mandibulectomy was treated by carrying out reconstruction with a scapular flap, aiming for functional restoration through restoration-driven

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Masahiro Wada, Department of Prosthodontics, Gerodontology and Oral Rehabilitation, Osaka University Graduate School of Dentistry, 1-8 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan, Tel: +81-6-6879-2954; Fax: +81-6-6879-2957;

E-mail: masahiro@dent.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received Date: 13 Sep 2021

Accepted Date: 30 Sep 2021

Published Date: 15 Oct 2021

Citation:

Kosaka T, Wada M, Akema S, Matsunaga K, Uzawa N, Ikebe K. Restoration-Driven Implant Treatment after Mandibular Resection with a Scapular Flap: A Case Report. *Ann Clin Case Rep.* 2021; 6: 2034.

ISSN: 2474-1655

Copyright © 2021 Masahiro Wada.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

implant treatment. A good outcome was obtained, with improvement of masticatory function and QOL following the prosthetic treatment.

Case Presentation

The patient was a 37-year-old woman. She was diagnosed with ossifying fibroma in the left side of the mandible, for which segmental mandibulectomy and scapular flap reconstruction were carried out at the Division of Oral and Maxillofacial Disease, Osaka University Dental Hospital (Figure 1). The left mandibular first premolar was extracted and segmental mandibulectomy of the region posteriorly from the left first premolar and including the muscular process and the mandibular angle was performed. The left scapular flaps were a cutaneous flap of $13\text{ cm}^2 \times 5\text{ cm}^2$ and an osseous flap of $8\text{ cm}^2 \times 2.5\text{ cm}^2$, which were lifted with vascularization. For revascularization of the cutaneous flap, the circumflex scapular artery in the flap was anastomosed to the left facial artery on the neck side, and the circumflex scapular vein was anastomosed to the left facial vein on the neck side to restore blood flow. The defect in the oral cavity was closed with the scapular cutaneous flap.

As a result of the surgery, the teeth from the mandibular left first premolar to the left second molar were missing, with all other teeth except the bilateral maxillary second molars remaining (Figure



Figure 1: Panoramic radiograph after segmental mandibulectomy and reconstruction.



Figure 2: Intraoral view before prosthetic treatment.



Figure 3: Secondary reconstruction using a PCBM graft covered by a titanium mesh plate.




Figure 4: Final simulation of the implant placement using cone-beam CT image.




Figure 5: First implant placement surgery (a) with a surgical template (b).

2). After healing of the wound, prosthetic treatment of the missing mandibular left molars started at the Division of Prosthodontics, Osaka University Dental Hospital. Implant diagnostic simulation software (Landmark System, iCAT Corp., Osaka, Japan) was used to determine the ideal implant superstructure for occlusion on the basis of the position of opposing teeth, and virtual implants were placed directly under the superstructure. Given this situation, the quantity of bone ideally needed to support the implant was planned.

Secondary reconstruction using a Particulate Cancellous Bone and Marrow (PCBM) graft was performed by an oral surgeon on the basis of the results of the above simulation. The soft tissue attached to the graft bone was detached buccolingually to expose the whole area around the graft. Next, a titanium mesh plate that had been prepared in advance was placed, following the morphology of the bone from the inferior margin of the mandible and graft bone and fixed in position with screws (Figure 3). In the bone cavity created between the titanium mesh plate thus fixed and the grafted bone on the lingual side, a sufficient amount of PCBM harvested from the left ilium was grafted to the height of the alveolar apex.

After the wound had healed, cone-beam CT was carried out to reconfirm the quantity of grafted bone, and a final simulation of the implant placement was performed (Figure 4). A surgical template was fabricated, and implant placement surgery was then performed (Figure 5). First, the oral surgeon opened the center of the cutaneous flap in the oral cavity to reveal the grafted bone and reduced the amount of subcutaneous fatty tissue on the cutaneous flap, and the prosthodontist then placed the implants (Genesio Plus; $\phi 3.8 \times 10\text{ mm}$, GC Company, Tokyo, Japan) at the planned sites.

After a 5-month unloading period, a second surgical procedure was performed to place the abutment and healing cap. The oral surgeon performed alveolar ridge plasty by reducing the amount of subcutaneous fatty tissue on the cutaneous flap and correcting the shape of the flap. The abutment and healing cap were then placed by the prosthodontist. After waiting for the wound from the second




Figure 6: Panoramic radiograph after prosthetic treatment.



Figure 7: Intraoral view after prosthetic treatment.




Figure 8: Masticatory performance examination before (a) and after (b) prosthetic treatment.

procedure to heal, provisional restorations were placed. After placement, peri-implant cleanliness and the occlusal relationship were carefully checked, and a fixed partial denture with a zirconia framework was placed (Figure 6, 7).

To evaluate masticatory function, masticatory performance (Soshaku-noryoku sokuteiyu gummy jelly, UHA Mikakuto, Osaka, Japan) and maximum bite force (Dental Prescale II, GC, Tokyo, Japan) were evaluated before and after prosthetic treatment. Masticatory performance (ranged from score 0 with the lowest to score 10 with the highest) improved from a pre-prosthetic treatment score of 4 to a post-treatment score of 6 (Figure 8), and maximum bite force improved from a pre-treatment value of 398.8 N to a post-treatment value of 658.4 N (Figure 9). In addition, oral health-related QOL was evaluated using the Oral Health Impact Profile-14 (OHIP-14), which improved from a pre-treatment score of 15 to a post-treatment score of 1.

Discussion

In the present case, placement of a fixed prosthetic device by means of implants was selected for prosthetic treatment following extensive resection of the mandible due to an oral tumor. A simulation was performed to determine the optimal implant sites, and secondary reconstruction was carried out for the bone growth necessary for




Figure 9: Maximum bite force examination before (a) and after (b) prosthetic treatment.

the implants. As a result, an appropriate occlusal relationship at the mandibular defect site was created.

The desirable implant placement sites were considered in advance by envisaging the final appearance and occlusal status, after which sufficient bone volume was made up by secondary reconstruction. This allowed placement of the implant device at the optimal implant site. Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR), in which regeneration of bone tissue into areas of insufficient bone tissue is promoted, is routinely performed for implant treatment at many dental clinics [7]. However, in cases such as the present one with an extensive mandibular defect and reconstruction is carried out with a cutaneous flap that has different properties from normal alveolar ridge mucosa, adjustment of the volume of the cutaneous flap and careful control of the volume of grafted bone are needed in the secondary reconstruction. An approach that combines specialists in oral surgery and specialists in prosthetics collaborating together needs to be put in place.

A plan with the aim of restoring not only the patient's appearance, but also her masticatory function, which were lost as a result of mandibulectomy, was drawn up. Occlusion in the right molar region was established before the prosthetic treatment, so that a certain degree of masticatory function was retained. The patient herself stated that she somehow managed to eat by biting with the rear teeth on the right side. However, her masticatory performance improved from a pre-treatment score of 4 to a post-treatment score of 6, and she stated that, after the prosthetic treatment, she was able to chew food into small pieces and that meals took less time. Thus, it is essential to establish occlusion with a balance between both sides in the molar region to ensure smooth masticatory function, and this was achieved in the present case. Maximum bite force was also evaluated in the present case as an objective indicator of masticatory function. It has been shown that maximum bite force correlates strongly with masticatory performance [8]. The establishment of occlusal support on the left side and the ability to exert occlusal pressure on the bilateral molars resulted in an improvement in maximum bite force, leading to improved masticatory performance.

When judging the results of dental treatment, it is important to evaluate the subjective level of satisfaction, as well as objective measures of oral function. In the present case, oral health-related QOL was evaluated as an indicator of the subjective level of satisfaction. The results showed that oral health-related QOL improved after the prosthetic treatment. Since improvement was seen following prosthetic treatment not just in the objective measure of masticatory function, but also in the subjective level of satisfaction, it is clear that the results of the prosthetic treatment in the present case were good.

Conclusion

In the present case, restoration-driven implant treatment

was performed in a patient following segmental mandibulectomy for a mandibular tumor, with a good outcome. In addition, it was possible to visualize and accurately judge the effects of the treatment by conducting objective evaluation of masticatory function and subjective evaluation of the patient's level of satisfaction before and after the prosthetic treatment. Planning the treatment measures with a focus on the final prosthetic vision while making use of the expertise of specialists from different fields, as in the present case, can lead to improvement of oral function in patients with extensive mandibular defects.

Authors' Contribution

TK: Concept/design, drafting article, data collection; MW: Concept/design, critical revision, surgical and prosthetic intervention; SA: Data collection, prosthetic intervention; KM & NU: Critical revision, surgical intervention; KI: Critical revision, evaluation of the literature. All authors read and approved the final draft of this manuscript.

References

1. Yamashita Y, Mizuashi K, Shigematsu M, Goto M. Masticatory function and neurosensory disturbance after mandibular correction by bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomy: A comparison between miniplate and bicortical screw rigid internal fixation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2007;36(2):118-22.
2. Bozec A, Poissonnet G, Chamorey E, Casanova C, Vallicioni C, Demard F, et al. Free-flap head and neck reconstruction and quality of life: A 2-year prospective study. *Laryngoscope.* 2008;118(5):874-80.
3. Takushima A, Harii K, Asato H, Momosawa A, Okazaki M, Nakatsuka T. Choice of osseous and osteocutaneous flaps for mandibular reconstruction. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2005;10(4):234-42.
4. Swartz WM, Banis JC, Newton ED, Ramasastry SS, Jones NF, Acland R. The osteocutaneous scapular flap for mandibular and maxillary reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1986;77(4):530-45.
5. Karayazgan-Saracoglu B, Atay A, Korkmaz C, Gunay Y. Quality of life assessment of implant-retained overdentures and fixed metal-acrylic resin prostheses in patients with marginal mandibulectomy. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2016;118(4):551-60.
6. Pappalardo M, Tsao CK, Tsang ML, Zheng J, Chang YM, Tsai CY. Long-term outcome of patients with or without osseointegrated implants after resection of mandibular ameloblastoma and reconstruction with vascularized bone graft: Functional assessment and quality of life. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2018;71(7):1076-85.
7. Dellavia C, Canciani E, Pellegrini G, Tommasato G, Graziano D, Chiapasco M. Histological assessment of mandibular bone tissue after guided bone regeneration with customized computer-aided design/computer-assisted manufacture titanium mesh in humans: A cohort study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2021;23(4):600-11.
8. Kosaka T, Ono T, Kida M, Kikui M, Yamamoto M, Yasui S, et al. A multifactorial model of masticatory performance: The Suita study. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2016;43(5):340-7.